

## Three proofs of Erdős-Szekeres lemma

**Erdős-Szekeres lemma:** A sequence of  $n^2 + 1$  real numbers contains a monotonic subsequence of length  $n + 1$ .

**First Proof.** (direct argument)

Let the sequence be  $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n^2+1} \rangle$ . We associate an ordered pair  $(a_i, b_i)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n^2 + 1$  such that  $a_i$  is the length of the longest monotonically increasing sequence ending at  $x_i$  and  $b_i$  is the length of the longest monotonically decreasing sequence ending at  $x_i$ . We might note that if  $i < j$  then  $(a_i, b_i) \neq (a_j, b_j)$ . If  $x_i < x_j$ , then  $a_j$  is at least one more than  $a_i$ . Else if,  $x_i > x_j$ , then  $b_j$  is at least one more than  $b_i$ . By pigeon hole principle, there is at least one ordered pair other than  $\{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a \leq n, 1 \leq b \leq n\}$ .

**Second Proof.** (based structure theorem of finite posets)

Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n^2 + 1\}$ . Lets define an order  $i \preceq j$  if  $i \leq j$  and  $x_i \leq x_j$  where  $1 \leq i, j \leq n^2 + 1$  to get the poset  $(X, \preceq)$ . A chain  $i_1 \preceq i_2 \preceq \dots \preceq i_m$  in  $(X, \preceq)$  corresponds to a monotonically increasing subsequence  $x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq \dots \leq x_{i_m}$  (as  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$ ). Similarly, an antichain in  $(X, \preceq)$  corresponds to a monotonically decreasing sequence. By structure theorem,  $\alpha(X, \preceq) \cdot \omega(X, \preceq) \geq n^2 + 1$  where  $\alpha(X, \preceq)$  is the length of the longest chain and  $\omega(X, \preceq)$  is the length of the longest antichain. Hence,  $\alpha(X, \preceq) > n$  or  $\omega(X, \preceq) > n$ .

**Third Proof.** (based on Dilworth's theorem)

Suppose define the order as in the second proof. If the length of every chain does not exceed  $n$ , then, there must be more than  $n$  chains. By dilworth's theorem, we have an antichain of length greater than  $n$ .